Path integral action and Chern-Simons quantum mechanics in noncommutative plane

Sunandan Gangopadhyay[a,b,c*], Frederik G Scholtz [a,d†]
[a] National Institute for Theoretical Physics (NITheP), Stellenbosch 7602, South Africa
[b] Department of Physics, West Bengal State University, Barasat, Kolkata 700126, India
[c] Inter University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA), Pune 411007, India
[d] Institute of Theoretical Physics, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch 7602, South Africa

In this paper, the connection between the path integral representation of propagators in the coherent state basis with additional degrees of freedom [28] and the one without any such degrees of freedom [29] is established. We further demonstrate that the path integral formalism developed in the noncommutative plane using the coherent state basis leads to a quantum mechanics involving a Chern-Simons term in momentum which is of noncommutative origin. The origin of this term from the Bopp-shift point of view is also investigated. A relativistic generalization of the action derived from the path integral framework is then proposed. Finally, we construct a map from the commutative quantum Hall system to a particle in a noncommutative plane moving in a magnetic field. The value of the noncommutative parameter from this map is then computed and is found to agree with previous results.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Nx

arXiv:1402.6156v1 [hep-th] 25 Feb 2014

In the last decade, quantum mechanics on the noncommutative plane [1]-[15] and noncommutative quantum field theory [16]-[25] has been one of the intense areas of research owing to a continuous search for a consistent theory of quantum gravity. A key feature of these theories (like other theories of quantum gravity such as string theory) is that they admit a description in terms of extended objects, as first pointed out in [26] where a mapping to electric dipoles moving in a magnetic field was established. However, to get a deeper insight of the notion of physical extent and structure, one has to pay careful attention to the formal and interpretational aspects of noncommutative quantum mechanics. These aspects were formulated in [27] by viewing noncommutative quantum mechanics as a quantum system represented on the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators acting on noncommutative configuration space. A path integral formulation was also developed, which clearly demonstrated the nonlocal nature of noncommutative theories. Based on these investigations, it was shown in [28] that there exists two equivalent pictures in position representation: a constrained local description in position containing additional degrees of freedom and an unconstrained nonlocal description in position without any other degrees of freedom. Both these descriptions clearly point towards the notion of extended objects.

In this paper, we proceed to derive the path integral representation and the action for a particle using coherent states containing additional degrees of freedom. We follow the standard approach of introducing momentum completeness relations [29, 30] to derive this representation. The advantage of doing this is that it leads to a phase-space representation of the path integral. We also point out that this action has a structure very similar to the action involving an auxiliary field considered in [29]. As a matter of consistency, we quantize this system by noting that it is a second class constrained system and recover the noncommutative Heisenberg algebra. The connection between this path integral picture with the one formulated earlier in [29] without any additional degrees of freedom is then established. The free particle propagator involving the coherent states with additional degrees of freedom is computed next from which the propagator in the coherent state basis (without any additional degrees of freedom) is recovered. We then observe that the path integral formulation on the noncommutative plane in the coherent state basis naturally leads to a noncommutative Chern-Simons quantum mechanics. This is shown by first obtaining the phase-space representation of the path integral in the coherent state basis $|z)$. Interestingly, one finds that the action contains a Chern-Simons term in momentum thereby making it similar in form with the path integral in $|z, v)$ coherent state basis. Indeed, such a theory was considered earlier in [11], [31] where the Chern-Simons term in momentum was put in by hand in order to make a transition from a commutative theory to a noncommutative theory. However, the path integral formulation presents a clear justification of introducing such a term (of noncommutative origin) in the Lagrangian. This observation is completely new and has been missing from the existing literature. It also demonstrates that there is a subtle connection between the origin of the Chern-Simons term in momentum and the Voros star product. We also obtain the phase-space representation of the path integral for a particle in the noncommutative plane in the presence of a magnetic field. This leads to an action for a particle in a magnetic field augmented by the

* e-mail:sunandan.gangopadhyay@gmail.com
† e-mail: fgs@sun.ac.za

Chern-Simons term in momentum. We then try to understand the origin of this term from the Bopp-shift view point. It is observed that replacing the position coordinate in the first order form of the action by the Bopp-shifted position coordinate gives rise to this term. An action for a relativistic particle in the noncommutative plane is then written down by a straight forward generalization of the non-relativistic action derived from the path integral framework. Finally, we consider the problem of a quantum Hall system in commutative space. We show that the problem can be mapped to the problem of a particle in a noncommutative plane in the presence of a magnetic field. The value of the noncommutative parameter can be computed explicitly and is found to be in agreement with the result for the commutator of the relative coordinates (projected to the lowest Landau level) in a magnetic field in the presence of a harmonic oscillator potential computed in [14].

To begin our discussion, we present a brief review of the formalism of noncommutative quantum mechanics developed recently in [27]. It was suggested in these papers that one can give precise meaning to the concepts of the classical configuration space and the Hilbert space of a noncommutative quantum system. The first step is to define classical configuration space. In two dimensions, the coordinates of noncommutative configuration space satisfy the commutation relation

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{y}] = i\theta \tag{1}$$

for a constant θ that we can take, without loss of generality, to be positive. The annihilation and creation operators are defined by $\hat{b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})$, $\hat{b}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y})$ and satisfy the Fock algebra $[\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = 1$. The noncommutative configuration space can therefore be viewed as a boson Fock space spanned by the eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of the operator $b^\dagger b$. We refer to it as the classical configuration space ($\mathcal{H}_c$)

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \text{span}\{|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(\hat{b}^\dagger)^n|0\rangle\}_{n=0}^{n=\infty} \tag{2}$$

where the span is taken over the field of complex numbers.

Then one introduces the Hilbert space of the noncommutative quantum system, which is taken to be:

$$\mathcal{H}_q = \left\{\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) : \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_c\right),\ \text{tr}_c(\psi^\dagger(\hat{x}, \hat{y})\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) < \infty\right\}. \tag{3}$$

Here tr_c denotes the trace over noncommutative configuration space and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}_c\right)$ the set of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}_c$. This space has a natural inner product and norm

$$(\phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) = \text{tr}_c(\phi(\hat{x}, \hat{x})^\dagger \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) \tag{4}$$

and forms a Hilbert space [32]. An important notation that we adopt is the following. States in the noncommutative configuration space are denoted by $|\cdot\rangle$ and states in the quantum Hilbert space by $\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \equiv |\psi)$ to distinguish between them. A unitary representation of the noncommutative Heisenberg algebra in terms of operators $\hat{X}$, $\hat{Y}$, $\hat{P}_x$ and $\hat{P}_y$ acting on the states of the quantum Hilbert space (3) (assuming commutative momenta) is easily found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) &= \hat{x}\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \quad , \quad \hat{Y}\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \hat{y}\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \\ \hat{P}_x\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) &= \frac{\hbar}{\theta}[\hat{y}, \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})] \quad , \quad \hat{P}_y\psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = -\frac{\hbar}{\theta}[\hat{x}, \psi(\hat{x}, \hat{y})]\ ;\ \hat{P} = \hat{P}_x + i\hat{P}_y. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The minimal uncertainty states on noncommutative configuration space (isomorphic to boson Fock space), are well known to be the normalized coherent states [33]

$$|z\rangle = e^{-z\bar{z}/2}e^{zb^\dagger}|0\rangle \tag{6}$$

where, $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(x + iy)$ is a dimensionless complex number. These states provide an overcomplete basis on the noncommutative configuration space. Corresponding to these states, a state (operator) in quantum Hilbert space can be constructed as follows

$$|z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}|z\rangle\langle z| \tag{7}$$

which satisfy

$$\hat{B}|z, \bar{z}) = z|z, \bar{z})\ ;\ \hat{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(\hat{X} + i\hat{Y}). \tag{8}$$

Writing the trace in terms of coherent states (6) and using $|\langle z|w\rangle|^2 = e^{-|z-w|^2}$ it is easy to see that

$$(z,\bar{z}|w,\bar{w}) = \frac{1}{\theta} tr_c(|z\rangle\langle z|w\rangle\langle w|) = \frac{1}{\theta}|\langle z|w\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{\theta}e^{-|z-w|^2} \tag{9}$$

which shows that $|z,\bar{z})$ is indeed a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. The 'position' representation of a state $|\psi) = \psi(\hat{x},\hat{y})$ can now be constructed as

$$(z,\bar{z}|\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} tr_c(|z\rangle\langle z|\psi(\hat{x},\hat{y})) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}}\langle z|\psi(\hat{x},\hat{y})|z\rangle. \tag{10}$$

We now introduce the momentum eigenstates normalised such that $(p'|p) = \delta(p-p')$

$$|p) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2\pi\hbar^2}} e^{i\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}}(\bar{p}b + pb^\dagger)} \ ; \ \hat{P}_i|p) = p_i|p) \tag{11}$$

which satisfy the completeness relation

$$\int d^2p \ |p)(p| = 1_Q \ . \tag{12}$$

We now observe that the wave-function of a "free particle" on the noncommutative plane is given by [29]

$$(z,\bar{z}|p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2}} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4\hbar^2}\bar{p}p} e^{i\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}}(p\bar{z}+\bar{p}z)} \ . \tag{13}$$

The completeness relations for the position eigenstates $|z,\bar{z})$ (which is an important ingredient in the construction of the path integral representation) reads

$$\int \frac{\theta dz d\bar{z}}{2\pi} \ |z,\bar{z}) \star (z,\bar{z}| = 1_Q \tag{14}$$

where the star product between two functions $f(z,\bar{z})$ and $g(z,\bar{z})$ is defined as

$$f(z,\bar{z}) \star g(z,\bar{z}) = f(z,\bar{z}) e^{\overleftarrow{\partial_{\bar{z}}}\overrightarrow{\partial_z}} g(z,\bar{z}) \ . \tag{15}$$

This can be proved by using (13) and computing

$$\int \frac{\theta dz d\bar{z}}{2\pi} (p'|z,\bar{z}) \star (z,\bar{z}|p) = e^{-\frac{\theta}{4\hbar^2}(\bar{p}p + \bar{p}'p')} e^{\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}\bar{p}p'} \delta(p-p') = (p'|p) \ . \tag{16}$$

Thus, the position representation of the noncommutative system maps quite naturally to the Voros plane.

It is possible to decompose the star product $\star = e^{\overleftarrow{\partial_{\bar{z}}}\overrightarrow{\partial_z}}$ by introducing a further degree of freedom (apart from constant factors)

$$\begin{aligned} 1_Q &= \int dz d\bar{z} \ |z,\bar{z}) \star (z,\bar{z}| \\ &= \int dz d\bar{z} \int dv d\bar{v} \ e^{-|v|^2} |z) e^{\bar{v}\overleftarrow{\partial_{\bar{z}}} + v\overrightarrow{\partial_z}} (z| \\ &= \int dz d\bar{z} \int dv d\bar{v} \ |z,v)(z,v|. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The states $|z,v)$ introduced above have the following additional properties

$$\begin{aligned} |z,v) &= e^{-\bar{v}v/2} e^{\bar{v}\partial_{\bar{z}}} |z) \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}v - \bar{v}z)} |z\rangle\langle z+v| \ ; \ z,v \in \mathcal{C} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\hat{B}|z,v) = z|z,v) \ ; \ \forall \ v. \tag{19}$$

The overlap of the $|z,v)$ states with the momentum eigenstates $|p)$ reads

$$(z,v|p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar^2}} e^{-\frac{\theta}{4\hbar^2}\bar{p}p} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}[p\bar{z}+\bar{p}(z+v)]} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{v}v} \ . \tag{20}$$

We introduce one further notational convention which we shall require later. For any operator $\hat{O}$ acting on the quantum Hilbert space, we may define left and right action (denoted by subscripted L and R) as follows:

$$\hat{O}_L\psi = \hat{O}\psi \ , \ \hat{O}_R\psi = \psi\hat{O} \ ; \ \forall \ \psi \in \mathcal{H}_q. \tag{21}$$

In this language, for instance, the complex momenta $\hat{P}$ may be written as

$$\hat{P} = i\hbar\sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}[\hat{B}_R - \hat{B}_L] \ , \ \hat{P}^\ddagger = i\hbar\sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}[\hat{B}_L^\ddagger - \hat{B}_R^\ddagger]. \tag{22}$$

In this way we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(z,v|\hat{B}_L^\ddagger|\psi) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{v}z-\bar{z}v)}\langle z|b^\dagger\psi|z+v\rangle = \bar{z}(z,v|\psi), \\
(z,v|\hat{B}_R|\psi) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{v}z-\bar{z}v)}\langle z|\psi b|z+v\rangle = (z+v)(z,v|\psi), \\
(z,v|\hat{B}_L|\psi) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{v}z-\bar{z}v)}\langle z|b\psi|z+v\rangle, \\
(z,v|\hat{B}_R^\ddagger|\psi) &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{v}z-\bar{z}v)}\langle z|\psi b^\dagger|z+v\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Also, it is to be noted that the left and right operators commute with each other, since $\hat{A}_L\hat{B}_R\psi = \hat{A}\psi\hat{B} = \hat{B}_R\hat{A}_L\psi$.

With the above formalism and the completeness relations for the momentum and the position eigenstates (12, 14) in place, we now proceed to write down the path integral for the propagation kernel on the two dimensional noncommutative plane. This reads (apart from a constant factor)

$$(z_f,v_f,t_f|z_0,v_0,t_0) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int\prod_{j=1}^{n}(d\bar{\mu}_j d\mu_j) \ (z_f,v_f,t_f|z_n,v_n,t_n)(z_n,v_n,t_n|....|z_1,v_1,t_1)(z_1,v_1,t_1|z_0,v_0,t_0) \ . \tag{24}$$

The Hamiltonian (acting on the quantum Hilbert space) for a particle in the presence of a potential on the noncommutative plane reads

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m} + : V(B^\dagger, B) : \ . \tag{25}$$

With this Hamiltonian, we now compute the propagator over a small segment in the above path integral (24). With the help of (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(z_{j+1},v_{j+1},t_{j+1}|z_j,v_j,t_j) &= (z_{j+1},v_{j+1}|e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\tau}|z_j,v_j) \\
&= (z_{j+1},v_{j+1}|1-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}\tau + O(\tau^2)|z_j,v_j) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^2p_j \ e^{-\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}\bar{p}_j p_j} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}[p_j(\bar{z}_{j+1}-\bar{z}_j)+\bar{p}_j(z_{j+1}-z_j)]} \\
&\quad \times e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\tau[\frac{\bar{p}_j p_j}{2m}+V(\bar{z}_{j+1},z_j)]} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(|v_{j+1}|^2+|v_j|^2)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(\bar{p}_j v_{j+1}-p_j\bar{v}_j)} + O(\tau^2) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Substituting the above expression in eq.(24), we obtain (apart from a constant factor)

$$\begin{aligned}
(z_f,v_f,t_f|z_0,v_0,t_0) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int\prod_{j=1}^{n}(d\bar{\mu}_j d\mu_j)\prod_{j=0}^{n}d^2p_j \\
&\exp\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\left[p_j\left\{\bar{z}_{j+1}-\bar{z}_j\right\}+\bar{p}_j\left\{z_{j+1}-z_j\right\}\right]+\alpha\bar{p}_j p_j - \frac{i}{\hbar}\tau V(\bar{z}_{j+1},z_j)\right. \\
&\qquad \left. -\frac{1}{2}(|v_{j+1}|^2+|v_j|^2)+\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\left(\bar{p}_j v_{j+1}-p_j\bar{v}_j\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where $\alpha = -(\frac{i\tau}{2m\hbar} + \frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2})$.
One can now carry out the momentum integral to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(z_f, v_f, t_f|z_0, v_0, t_0) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} N \int \prod_{j=1}^{n} (d\bar{\mu}_j d\mu_j) \\ &\exp \sum_{j=0}^{n} \left[\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2\alpha} [|z_{j+1} - z_j|^2 - v_{j+1}\bar{v}_j + (\bar{z}_{j+1} - \bar{z}_j)v_{j+1} - (z_{j+1} - z_j)\bar{v}_j] - \frac{i}{\hbar}\tau V(\bar{z}_{j+1}, z_j) \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2}(|v_{j+1}|^2 + |v_j|^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Now using the fact that $z_j = z(j\tau)$ and $z_{j+1} - z_j = \tau\dot{z}(j\tau) + O(\tau^2)$ and taking the limit $\tau \to 0$, we finally arrive at the path integral representation of the propagator

$$(z_f, v_f, t_f|z_0, v_0, t_0) = N \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\mu}\mathcal{D}\mu \ \exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}S), \tag{29}$$

where S is the action given by

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{m\theta}\bar{v}v + i\hbar \left\{ \dot{\bar{z}}v - \dot{z}\bar{v} + \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\bar{v}}v - \bar{v}\dot{v}) \right\} - V(\bar{z}(t), z(t)) \right] . \tag{30}$$

Before proceeding further, we would like to point out that this form of the action looks very similar in structure to the action involving the auxiliary field considered in [29]. This can be realized by recasting the above action in the following form

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\bar{v} \left(-i\hbar\partial_t - \frac{\hbar^2}{m\theta} \right) v + i\hbar(\dot{\bar{z}}v - \dot{z}\bar{v}) \right]. \tag{31}$$

The above action can be readily identified with the action involving the auxiliary field [29]. As a consistency check, we now quantize this theory to see whether one recovers the noncommutative Heisenberg algebra. To do this we first set $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\theta}}(x + iy)$ to find that this is a constrained system with the following second class constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 &= p_{v_1} + \hbar v_2 \approx 0, \\ \Lambda_2 &= p_{v_2} - \hbar v_1 \approx 0, \\ \Lambda_3 &= p_x + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}\hbar v_2 \approx 0, \\ \Lambda_4 &= p_y - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\theta}}\hbar v_1 \approx 0, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where the symbol ≈ 0 signifies weakly zero. Computing the Dirac brackets [34] yield

$$\{x, y\}_{DB} = \frac{\theta}{\hbar} \ , \ \{x, p_x\}_{DB} = 1 = \{x, p_x\}_{DB} \ , \ \{x, v_2\}_{DB} = -\frac{1}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}} \ . \tag{33}$$

Replacing $\{.,.\}_{DB} \to \frac{1}{i\hbar}[.,.]$ indeed yields the noncommutative Heisenberg algebra.

The connection of the above propagator with the propagator in the $|z)$-basis (which has been derived in [29]) can be shown in the following way. We note that the propagator in the $|z)$-basis is related to the propagator in the $|z, v)$-basis as

$$(z_f, t_f|z_0, t_0) = Ne^{-\vec{\partial}_{z_f}\vec{\partial}_{\bar{z}_0}} \int d\bar{v}dv \ (z_f, v, t_f|z_0, v, t_0). \tag{34}$$

Setting $v_f = v_0 = v$ in eq.(28) and integrating over v, $\bar{v}$ leads to

$$\int d\bar{v}dv \ (z_f, v, t_f|z_0, v, t_0) = N \int \mathcal{D}\bar{z}\mathcal{D}z \ \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \theta m\dot{\bar{z}}(t) \left(1 + \frac{im\theta}{\hbar}\partial_t \right)^{-1} \dot{z}(t) \right\}. \tag{35}$$

From eq(s)(34, 35), we get

$$(z_f,t_f|z_0,t_0) = Ne^{-\vec{\partial}_{z_f}\vec{\partial}_{\bar{z}_0}}\int \mathcal{D}\bar{z}\mathcal{D}z\ \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_{t_0}^{t_f}\theta m\dot{\bar{z}}(t)\left(1+\frac{im\theta}{\hbar}\partial_t\right)^{-1}\dot{z}(t)\right\} \tag{36}$$

which is the propagator in [29]. For the sake of completeness, we compute the free particle propagator in the $|z,v)$-basis. This reads

$$(z_f,v_f,t_f|z_0,v_0,t_0) = \frac{\theta m}{(i\hbar T+\theta m)}\exp\left\{-\frac{(|v_f|^2+|v_0|^2)}{2}-\frac{\theta m}{(iT+\theta m)}[|z_f-z_0|^2-\bar{v}_0(z_f-z_0)+v_f(\bar{z}_f-\bar{z}_0)-\bar{v}_0v_f]\right\} \tag{37}$$

where $T=t_f-t_0$. To obtain the free particle propagator in the $|z)$-basis, we first set $v_f=v_0=v$ and then use eq.(34) to get

$$(z_f,t_f|z_0,t_0) = \frac{\theta m}{(i\hbar T+\theta m)}\exp\left\{-\frac{\theta m}{(iT+\theta m)}|z_f-z_0|^2\right\}. \tag{38}$$

This is precisely the result obtained in [29] and hence verifies the consistency of eq.(34).

We now move on to demonstrate that the path integral formulation developed in [29] leads to a Chern-Simons quantum mechanics. To establish this link, we first write down the path integral representation of the propagator in the $|z)$-basis [29]

$$\begin{aligned}(z_f,t_f|z_0,t_0) = \quad & \lim_{n\to\infty}\int\prod_{j=1}^{n}(d\bar{z}_jdz_j)\prod_{j=0}^{n}d^2p_je^{-\vec{\partial}_{z_f}\vec{\partial}_{\bar{z}_0}} \\ & \times\exp\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\left[p_j\left\{\bar{z}_{j+1}-\bar{z}_j\right\}+\bar{p}_j\left\{z_{j+1}-z_j\right\}\right]+\alpha\bar{p}_jp_j+\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}p_{j+1}\bar{p}_j-\frac{i}{\hbar}\tau V(\bar{z}_{j+1},z_j)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $\alpha=-(\frac{i\tau}{2m\hbar}+\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2})$. Using this value of α, it is easy to see that

$$\alpha\bar{p}_jp_j+\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}p_{j+1}\bar{p}_j = -\frac{i\tau}{2m\hbar}\bar{p}_jp_j+\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}\bar{p}_j(p_{j+1}-p_j). \tag{40}$$

Substituting the above relation in eq.(39) and taking the $\tau\to 0$, we get

$$(z_f,t_f|z_0,t_0) = N\int\mathcal{D}\bar{z}\mathcal{D}z\mathcal{D}\bar{p}\mathcal{D}p\ e^{-\vec{\partial}_{z_f}\vec{\partial}_{\bar{z}_0}}\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}S) \tag{41}$$

where S is the action given by

$$S=\int_{t_0}^{t_f}dt\ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(p\dot{\bar{z}}+\bar{p}\dot{z})-\frac{\bar{p}p}{2m}-\frac{i\theta}{2\hbar}\bar{p}\dot{p}\right]. \tag{42}$$

The important point to note about this form of the action is the $\bar{p}\dot{p}$ term. This is a Chern-Simons term in momentum space and is similar to the $\bar{v}\dot{v}$ term arising in eq.(30). Note that this term is purely of noncommutative origin and vanishes in the $\theta\to 0$ limit. Such a term has been considered earlier in [31], however, it comes out naturally in our path integral formalism. It is also reassuring to note that imposing the second class constraints Λ_3 and Λ_4 strongly (which implies $v=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}p$) and substituting this in the action (30) yields eq.(42). The above analysis can also be carried out for a particle moving in a magnetic field in the noncommutative plane. The path integral representation of the propagator in this case reads [30]

$$\begin{aligned}(z_f,t_f|z_0,t_0) = \quad & \lim_{n\to\infty}\int\prod_{j=1}^{n}(d\bar{z}_jdz_j)\prod_{j=0}^{n}d^2p_je^{-\vec{\partial}_{z_f}\vec{\partial}_{\bar{z}_0}} \\ & \times\exp\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\left[p_j\left\{\bar{z}_{j+1}-\bar{z}_j\right\}+\bar{p}_j\left\{z_{j+1}-z_j\right\}\right]+\alpha\bar{p}_jp_j+\frac{\theta}{2\hbar^2}p_{j+1}\bar{p}_j+\frac{eB}{2m\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}\tau(p_j\bar{z}_{j+1}-\bar{p}_jz_j)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Now using eq.(40) and the fact that $z_j = z(j\tau)$ and $z_{j+1} = z_j + \tau\dot{z}(j\tau) + O(\tau^2)$ followed by the $\tau \to 0$ limit leads to eq.(41) with the following form of the action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ \left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(p\dot{\bar{z}} + \bar{p}\dot{z}) - \frac{\bar{p}p}{2m} - \frac{i\theta}{2\hbar}\bar{p}\dot{p} - \frac{ieB}{2m}\sqrt{\frac{\theta}{2}}(p\bar{z} - \bar{p}z)\right] \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ \left[(p_i + eA_i)\dot{x}_i + \frac{\theta}{2\hbar}\epsilon_{ij}p_i\dot{p}_j - \frac{p_i^2}{2m}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $A_i = -\frac{B}{2}\epsilon_{ij}x_j, (i,j = 1,2)$. This action derived here from a path integral framework is precisely the one written down in [31].
It is also interesting to note that the origin of the Chern-Simons term in momentum can be understood from the point of view of a Bopp-shift. This can be seen by writing down the action in the first order form (in commutative space)

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ (p_i\dot{x}_i - H). \tag{45}$$

Now replacing x_i by the Bopp-shifted variable $\tilde{x}_i$ given by

$$\tilde{x}_i = x_i - \frac{\theta}{2\hbar}\epsilon_{ij}p_j \ ; \ \epsilon_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ji} \ , \ (i,j = 1,2) \tag{46}$$

we get (with $H = \frac{p_i^2}{2m}$)

$$\begin{aligned} S \to \tilde{S} &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ \left(p_i\dot{\tilde{x}}_i - \frac{p_i^2}{2m}\right) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ \left(p_i\dot{x}_i - \frac{p_i^2}{2m} - \frac{\theta}{2\hbar}\epsilon_{ij}p_i\dot{p}_j\right). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

This expression clearly shows that the action S gets augmented by the Chern-Simons term in momentum (which is of noncommutative origin) when the variable x_i is Bopp-shifted.

The action (42) can also be readily generalized to the relativistic case and reads

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} d\tau \ \left[p_\mu\dot{x}^\mu - \lambda(\tau)(p_\mu p^\mu + m^2) + \frac{\theta^{\mu\nu}}{2\hbar}p_\mu\dot{p}_\nu\right] \tag{48}$$

where τ is the proper time and $\lambda(\tau)$ is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the constraint $p_\mu p^\mu + m^2 = 0$. This form of the action has been considered earlier in [35] but arises here as a natural generalization of the non-relativistic form of the action (42) derived from a path integral approach. In [9], a relativistic generalization of the action following from the non-relativistic form of the path integral was presented, however, the noncommutative term differed from the Chern-Simons form and appeared to be quadratic in the momentum.

We now proceed to show that the problem of a quantum Hall system in commutative space can be mapped to the problem of a particle in a noncommutative plane in the presence of a magnetic field. To proceed, we first write down the Hamiltonian of the quantum Hall system in the presence of a harmonic oscillator potential

$$\hat{H} = 2\hbar\omega_c a_-^\dagger a_- + \frac{k}{2}(\hat{X}^2 + \hat{Y}^2) \ ; \ k = m\omega^2 \ , \ \omega_c = \frac{eB}{2m} \tag{49}$$

where ω_c is the cyclotron frequency and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X} &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_c}}(a_x + a_x^\dagger) \ ; \ \hat{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_c}}(a_y + a_y^\dagger) \\ a_\pm &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_x + a_y). \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Now eq.(23) points out that the operators a_- and a_+ and their hermitian conjugates can be mapped to the noncommutative operators in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} &a_- \leftrightarrow B_R^\ddagger \ , \ a_+ \leftrightarrow B_L \\ &a_-^\dagger \leftrightarrow B_R \ , \ a_+^\dagger \leftrightarrow B_L^\ddagger \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

since the additional degree of freedom v can be interpreted as a coherent state label in the space of Landau levels in a quantum Hall system [36]. The above mapping of operators can be justified from the fact that the right action operators $B_R, B_R^\ddagger$ act on the additional degrees of freedom. The map between the operators can be used to map the commutative problem (49) to a noncommutative problem. The Hamiltonian of the corresponding noncommutative problem reads

$$\hat{H} = 2\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k}(B_L^\ddagger B_L + B_R B_R^\ddagger + B_L B_R^\ddagger + B_L^\ddagger B_R) \ ; \ \tilde{k} = \frac{\hbar\omega^2}{4\omega_c} \ . \tag{52}$$

The action for this Hamiltonian can be easily computed from the result [28]

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \ (z, v|i\hbar\partial_t - \hat{H}|z, v). \tag{53}$$

Substituting the form of the Hamiltonian in the above action and using eq.(23), we get

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[-2(\hbar\omega_c + \tilde{k})\bar{v}v - i\hbar\bar{v}\dot{v} + i\hbar\left\{\dot{\bar{z}} - 2(\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k})\bar{z}\right\} v - i\hbar\left\{\dot{z} - 2(\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k})z\right\}\bar{v} - 2(\hbar\omega_c + 4\tilde{k})\bar{z}z\right] \ . \tag{54}$$

Putting the above form of the action in eq.(29) and integrating the additional degrees of freedom using eq.(30), we obtain the following form of the action in the $|z)$ coherent state representation

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2(\hbar\omega_c + \tilde{k})} \left(\partial_t + \frac{2i}{\hbar}[\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k}]\right) \bar{z} \left(1 + \frac{i\hbar}{2(\hbar\omega_c + \tilde{k})}\partial_t\right)^{-1} \left(\partial_t - \frac{2i}{\hbar}[\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k}]\right) z - 2(\hbar\omega_c + 4\tilde{k})\bar{z}z \right] . \tag{55}$$

This form of the action can be recast to the action for a particle in a magnetic field in a harmonic oscillator potential [30]

$$S = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt \left[\theta\tilde{m} \left(\partial_t + \frac{ie\tilde{B}}{2\tilde{m}}\right) \bar{z} \left(1 + \frac{i\theta\tilde{m}}{\hbar}\partial_t\right)^{-1} \left(\partial_t + \frac{ie\tilde{B}}{2\tilde{m}}\right) z - \left(\frac{e^2\tilde{B}^2}{4\tilde{m}} + \tilde{m}\tilde{\omega}^2\right) \bar{z}z \right] \tag{56}$$

with the following identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\tilde{m} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2(\hbar\omega_c + \tilde{k})}, \\ \frac{e\tilde{B}}{2\tilde{m}} &= \frac{2}{\hbar}(\hbar\omega_c + 2\tilde{k}), \\ \left(\frac{e^2\tilde{B}^2}{4\tilde{m}} + \tilde{m}\tilde{\omega}^2\right)\theta &= 2(\hbar\omega_c + 4\tilde{k}). \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

The above set of equations imply

$$\tilde{\omega}^2 = \frac{4\tilde{k}\omega_c}{\hbar} \ . \tag{58}$$

We would like to mention that similar identifications have also been noted earlier in the literature [1, 2, 12, 13] where they were found as rescalings from one set of parameters to another set of parameters. Setting $\tilde{m} = m$ leads to the following value of the noncommutative parameter θ:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m(\hbar\omega_c + \tilde{k})} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{eB}\left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{4\omega_c^2} + \mathcal{O}(\omega^4/\omega_c^4)\right) \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

where we have used the fact that $\omega << \omega_c$ in making a binomial expansion in the second line. This result has the same form as the result for the commutator of the relative coordinates (projected to the lowest Landau level) in a magnetic field in the presence of a harmonic oscillator potential computed in [14]. This gives a clear understanding of the noncommutativity in the lowest Landau level of a quantum Hall system from a path integral point of view.

We now summarize our findings. In this paper, using the recently proposed formulation of quantum mechanics on noncommutative plane, we derive the path integral representation of the propagation amplitude for a particle using coherent states having additional degrees of freedom. We show the relation of this amplitude with the propagator computed using coherent states without any additional degrees of freedom. We then demonstrate that the path integral formulation using coherent states on the noncommutative plane gives rise to a noncommutative Chern-Simons quantum mechanics. This is shown by deriving a phase-space representation of the path integral. This observation is new and is the main result in this paper. We also establish the connection of this Chern-Simons term in momentum (which is of noncommutative origin) with the Bopp-shift. Finally, we construct a map from the commutative quantum Hall system to a particle in a noncommutative plane moving in a magnetic field. This enables us to compute the value of the noncommutative parameter and we find that it agrees with the result for the commutator of the relative coordinates (projected to the lowest Landau level) in a magnetic field in the presence of a harmonic oscillator potential.

Acknowledgements : This work was supported under a grant of the National Research Foundation of South Africa.

---

[1] C. Duval, P.A. Horvathy; Phys. Lett. B 479 (2000) 284; [hep-th/0002233].
[2] V.P. Nair, A.P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. B 505 (2001) 267; [hep-th/0011172].
[3] B. Morariu, A.P. Polychronakos, Nucl. Phys. B 610 (2001) 531; [hep-th/0102157].
[4] C. Duval, P.A. Horvathy; J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 10097; [hep-th/0106089].
[5] J. Gamboa, M. Loewe, J.C. Rojas, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 067901; [hep-th/0010220].
[6] L. Mezincescu, "Star operation in quantum mechanics", [hep-th/0007046].
[7] M. Chaichian, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 2716; [hep-th/0010175].
[8] H.R. Christiansen, F.A. Schaposnik, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 086005; [hep-th/0106181].
[9] A. Smailagic, E. Spallucci, J. Phys. A 36 (2003) 467; [hep-th/0307217].
[10] M. Chaichian, M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, A. Tureanu, Eur. Phys. J. C 36 (2004) 251; [hep-th/0212259].
[11] J. Lukierski, P.C. Stichel, W.J. Zakrzewski, Ann. Physics 306 (2003) 78; [hep-th/0207149].
[12] B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay, A. Saha, Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 107707; [hep-th/0312292].
[13] F.G. Scholtz, B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay, A.G. Hazra, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 085005; [hep-th/0502143].
[14] F.G. Scholtz, B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay, J. Govaerts, J.Phys. A 38 (2005) 9849; [cond-mat/0509331].
[15] S. Gangopadhyay, "Some Studies in Noncommutative Quantum Field Theories "; arXiv:0806.2013 [hep-th].
[16] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP 09 (1999) 032; [hep-th/9908142].
[17] R.J. Szabo, Phys. Rept. 378 (2003) 207; [hep-th/0109162].
[18] V. Schomerus, JHEP 06 (1999) 030; [hep-th/9903205].
[19] For extensive reviews, see M.R. Douglas, N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2002) 977; [hep-th/0106048].
[20] M. Chaichian, P. Presnajder, A. Tureanu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 151602; [hep-th/0409096].
[21] A.P. Balachandran, G. Mangano, A. Pinzul, S. Vaidya, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. 21 (2006) 3111; [hep-th/0508002].
[22] B. Chakraborty, S. Gangopadhyay, A.G. Hazra, F.G. Scholtz, J.Phys. A39 (2006) 9557; [hep-th/0601121].
[23] A.H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, Phys. Rev. Lett 77 (1996) 4868.
[24] A.H. Chamseddine, A. Connes, Commun. Math. Phys. 186 (1997) 731; [hep-th/9606001].
[25] S.M. Carroll, J.A. Harvey, V.A. Kostelecky, C.D. Lane, T. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 141601; [hep-th/0105082].
[26] D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 066004.
[27] F.G. Scholtz, L. Gouba, A. Hafver, C.M. Rohwer, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 175303; arXiv:0812.2803 [math-ph].
[28] C.M. Rohwer, K.G. Zloshchastiev, L. Gouba, F.G. Scholtz, J. Phys. A 43 (2010) 345302.
[29] S. Gangopadhyay, F. G. Scholtz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 241602.
[30] S. Gangopadhyay, F. G. Scholtz, J. Phys. A 47 (2014) 075301; arXiv:1309.3144 [hep-th].
[31] J. Jing, Y. Cui, Z.W. Long, J.F. Chen, Eur. Phys. J. C 67 (2010) 583.
[32] A.S. Holevo, *Probabilistic and Statistical Aspects of Quantum Theory*(North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1982) p79.
[33] J.R. Klauder, B. Skagerstam, *Coherent states : Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
[34] P.A.M. Dirac, 1964 Lectures on Quantum Mechanics (New York: Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University).
[35] A.A. Deriglazov, Phys. Lett. B 555 (2003) 83; [hep-th/0208201].
[36] Note that the coordinate z with the knowledge of the additional degree of freedom v completely specifies the state of the particle